

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner Interview Summary memorializing the interview of 2 March 2010, Applicants submit herewith their statement of the substance of the interview.

On 2 March 2010, applicant's undersigned representative conducted a personal interview with Examiner Shobha Kantamneni and her supervisor, Examiner Sreeni Padmanabhan at the USPTO. The following is a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview: (A) No exhibits were shown and no demonstrations were conducted; (B) Claims 32,34, 39, 45-47, 56-61 and 41-42 were discussed; (C) Fahim (WO 00/13656) and Wider (USPN 6,500,861) were discussed; (D) the amendments presented above were discussed, in that the Examiners suggested that a supplemental amendment would obviate any alleged new matter rejection; (E) the general thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant and the examiner are those presented below and in applicants' amendment of 2 February 2010; (F) no other pertinent matters were discussed; (G) the general results or outcome of the interview was that applicant would file the present amendment; and (H) the interview was not via electronic mail.

Applicants make no admission that claims 32, 56 or 57 filed on 2 February 2010 introduce new matter. Applicants traverse such an assertion. However, in order to expedite allowance of claims 32, 56 and 57, applicants herein amend claims 32, 56 and 57 ***according to the Examiner's suggestion***. Claims 32, 56 and 57 are amended to recite that "the solution further comprises between ~~[[0%]]~~ 0.5% and 10% (v/v) ethanol and water." Clear support for this claim amendment may be found on page 16, lines 14-16 of the original specification.

The supplemental amendment (amending claims 32, 56 and 57) is respectfully requested to be entered. According to 37 CFR § 1.111 the supplemental amendment may be entered when the supplemental amendment is clearly limited to at least one of the following:

- (A) Cancellation of a claim(s);
- (B) Adoption of the examiner suggestion(s);
- (C) Placement of the application in condition for allowance;
- (D) Reply to an Office requirement made after the first reply was filed;
- (E) Correction of informalities (e.g., typographical errors); or
- (F) Simplification of issues for appeal.

Applicants respectfully maintain that the supplemental amendment to claims 32, 56 and 57 meets at least (B) Adoption of the examiner suggestion(s); (C) Placement of the application in condition for allowance; (D) Reply to an Office requirement made after the first reply was filed; and (F) Simplification of issues for appeal.

Accordingly, the supplemental amendment should be entered.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this amendment, or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney so that prosecution of the application may be expedited.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: 16 April 2010

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T.D. Boone". The signature is written in a cursive, stylized font with a large initial "T" and "B".

Travis D. Boone
Registration No. 52635

Customer No. 21839
703 836 6620